

Equivariant quantization on quotients of simple Lie groups by reductive subgroups of maximal rank

JOSEPH DONIN AND VADIM OSTAPENKO

Dept. of Math., Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat Gan, Israel

Received XXX

We consider a class of homogeneous manifolds including all semisimple coadjoint orbits. We describe manifolds of that class admitting deformation quantizations equivariant under the action of G and the corresponding quantum group. We also classify Poisson brackets relating to such quantizations.

PACS: XXX

Key words: equivariant quantization, Poisson brackets, quantum groups

1 Introduction

A quantum manifold is obtained from a usual manifold by replacing the original commutative function algebra with a deformed non-commutative algebra. If a manifold M is equipped with an action of a Lie group G , i.e. M is a G -manifold, it is natural to consider deformation quantizations of the function algebra which are equivariant with respect to the action of the group G or the corresponding quantum group $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, [1], where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G .

We consider here homogeneous G -manifolds of type $M = G/K$, where G is a simple connected Lie group over $\mathbb{C}$ and K its reductive subgroup of maximal rank, i.e. K contains a maximal torus of G . The Lie algebra of K , $\mathfrak{k}$, is generated by a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the set of contained in $\mathfrak{k}$ root vectors. Such a class of manifolds includes semisimple coadjoint orbits of G in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. For a coadjoint orbit, $\mathfrak{k}$ is a Levi subalgebra generated by simple root vectors.

The notion of G -equivariant quantization is very natural and supposed to be known. So, we only give the definition of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant quantization we admit in the paper.

Definition 1.1. Let M be a G -manifold. A $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant quantization on M is the $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module $\mathcal{F}(M)[[h]]$ endowed with a deformed multiplication μ_h such that:

- (i) μ_h defines a star-product on M , i.e. is of the form $\mu_h = \mu + h\mu_1 + h^2\mu_2 + \dots$, where μ is the usual commutative multiplication on $\mathcal{F}(M)$ and μ_i , $i \geq 1$, are bidifferential operators on M vanishing on constants;
- (ii) the multiplication μ_h is $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant, i.e.

$$x\mu_h(a \otimes b) = \mu_h\Delta_h(x)(a \otimes b), \text{ for any } x \in U_h(\mathfrak{g}), a, b \in A_h,$$

where Δ_h denotes the comultiplication in $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$. We also suppose that the action of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathcal{F}(M)[[h]]$ is the natural extension of the initial action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathcal{F}(M)$ in

the following sense. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple, $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]]$ as an algebra, and the natural action of $U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]]$ on $\mathcal{F}(M)[[h]]$ is induced from the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathcal{F}(M)$ by $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -linearity.

The element ν defined as $\nu(a, b) = \mu_1(a, b) - \mu_1(b, a)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is a Poisson bracket on M . In particular, ν is a bivector field on M . We call μ_h a *quantization corresponding* to the Poisson bracket ν . One can prove, [9], that the Poisson bracket of any $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant quantization has the form

$$\nu = s - r_M, \quad (1)$$

where r_M is the bivector field which is the image of the classical r-matrix $r \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ related to $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ via the action map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(M)$, and s is a G -invariant bivector field on M satisfying

$$[s, s] = -\varphi_M. \quad (2)$$

By φ_M we denote the 3-vector field induced on M by the $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant element $\varphi = [r, r] \in \bigwedge^3 \mathfrak{g}$ and by $[\cdot, \cdot]$ the Schouten bracket of two polyvector fields. We call a Poisson bracket of the form (1) *admissible*.

Remark 1.2. It is easy to see that the admissible Poisson brackets are precisely those which makes M a Poisson homogeneous manifold with respect to the Drinfeld–Sklyanin Poisson bracket $r^r - r^l$ on G .

The admissible brackets are also related to the dynamical CYBE [3], [4].

It is convenient to introduce the following

Definition 1.3. A G -invariant bivector field s on M is called a φ -bracket if it satisfies (2).

The first result of the paper is a classification of invariant and admissible Poisson brackets on homogeneous manifolds under consideration. Equation (1) reduces the classification of admissible brackets on M to a classification of φ -brackets on M .

The second result of the paper is that for any admissible Poisson bracket on M there exists the corresponding $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant quantization. Note that there exists a G -invariant connection on M , since the stabilizer of a point of M semisimply acts on $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, since M is G -homogeneous, an invariant Poisson bracket on M has the same rank at any point of M . Applying, for example, the well known Fedosov method, we obtain that for any G -invariant Poisson bracket on M the corresponding G -equivariant quantization always exists.

Among other works relevant to our study, we would like to mention the following. J. Donin and D. Gurevich [5] proved that on a semisimple coadjoint orbit there exists a quantization of the Sklyanin–Drinfeld Poisson bracket. J. Donin and S. Shnider [6] constructed one and two parameter equivariant quantization on any symmetric manifold. J. Donin, D. Gurevich, and S. Shnider [2] solved the problem of one and two parameter equivariant quantization on any semisimple coadjoint orbit, including a complete classification of corresponding Poisson brackets on them.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a group $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ related to a reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of maximal rank. It is the quotient of the lattice spanned by all roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the sublattice spanned by roots of $\mathfrak{k}$. We prove a statement about how the images of roots are lying in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$.

In Section 3, using this statement we give a classification of invariant Poisson brackets and φ -brackets on M .

In Section 4, we show that those brackets can be quantized.

2 Reductive subalgebras of maximal rank and group $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Let us fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and denote by $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. We are interested in reductive subalgebras, $\mathfrak{k}$, in $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$, which are called subalgebras of maximal rank. To any subalgebra of this type, it corresponds a subsystem of roots, P . Namely, $\alpha \in P$ if and only if the root vector $E_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{k}$. Note that $\mathfrak{k}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{h}$ and the root vectors E_{α} , $\alpha \in P$.

Example 2.1. Choose a system of simple roots $\Pi \subset \Omega$. Fix a subset $\Sigma \subset \Pi$. Let us put $P = \mathbb{Z}(\Sigma) \cap \Omega$, where $\mathbb{Z}(\Sigma)$ denote the free abelian group (lattice) spanned by Σ . Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the root vectors E_{α} for all $\alpha \in P$ and by the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Such $\mathfrak{k}$ is called a *Levi subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Example 2.2. Choose a system of simple roots $\Pi \subset \Omega$. Fix a root $\alpha \in \Pi$ and a positive integer n . Consider the set P of all roots in Ω whose coefficient before α in their expansion in basis Π is divisible by n . Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the root vectors E_{α} for all $\alpha \in P$ and by the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

The following is a modified version of the well known Dynkin theorem, [7].

Theorem 2.3. *For any reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of maximal rank, there exists a chain $\mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{k}_1 \supset \mathfrak{k}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{k}_k = \mathfrak{k}$ of Lie subalgebras such that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_j$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{k}_{j-1}$ by applying one of the procedures described in Examples 2.1 and 2.2.*

Let us set $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathbb{Z}(\Omega)/\mathbb{Z}(P)$ and denote by $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ the subgroup of elements of finite order in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$. The following theorem describes the group $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ and images of roots in it.

Proposition 2.4. (I) *The group $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is free, i.e. $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}) = 0$, if and only if $\mathfrak{k}$ is a Levi subalgebra.*

(II) *$\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is cyclic if and only if $\mathfrak{k}$ is obtained as in Theorem 2.3 applying the procedure of Example 2.2 no more than once.*

(III) *If $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is cyclic then any its non-zero element is the image of a root.*

(IV) *If $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is non-cyclic, then the kernel of any character of it contains the image of a root.*

Proof. (I) is obvious, since in this case P is generated by a set of roots, P' , lying in a linear subspace of the vector space spanned by Ω . But it is known that such a P' can be included in a set of simple roots.

To prove other points, we use the structure theory of simple Lie algebras. First of all, we come to the following conclusions. Any cyclic summand in $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is of order ≤ 6 . If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a classical simple Lie algebra, the group $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. For exceptional simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, also may be $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}) = \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$, or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. Using this structure of $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$, the proof of the theorem can be completed by a direct computation. $\square$

3 Classification of invariant Poisson brackets and φ -brackets on M

In this section, we suppose that G is a simple connected Lie group and K a reductive subgroup of maximal rank. We are going to describe invariant bivector fields, s , on M satisfying the equation

$$[s, s] = \varkappa^2 \varphi_M, \quad (3)$$

where $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that if s satisfies (3) with $\varkappa^2 = 0$, then it defines an invariant Poisson bracket, while if s satisfies (3) with $\varkappa^2 = -1$ then it defines a φ -bracket on M .

Consider the natural projection $\pi : G \rightarrow M = G/K$. It induces the map $\pi_* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_o$ where T_o is the tangent space to M at the point o being the image of unity. Since the ad-action of $\mathfrak{k}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, there exists an $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$ -invariant subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $\mathfrak{k}$, and one can identify T_o and $\mathfrak{m}$ by means of π_* . It is easy to see that subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ is uniquely defined and has a basis formed by elements E_γ , $\gamma \in \Omega \setminus P$. Here E_γ are root vectors satisfying $(E_\gamma, E_{-\gamma}) = 1$ for the Killing form $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

It follows from the above that invariant bivector fields on M correspond to $\mathfrak{k}$ -invariant bivectors of $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{m}$. It is proven in [2] and [8] that any bivector of $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{m}$ is $\mathfrak{k}$ -invariant if and only if it has the form

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Omega \setminus P} c(\bar{\alpha}) E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha}, \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the image of α in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and coefficients $c(\bar{\alpha})$ satisfy the condition $c(\bar{\alpha}) = -c(-\bar{\alpha})$. This means that for invariant bivectors the coefficients before terms $E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha}$ and $E_\beta \wedge E_{-\beta}$ are the same if $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}$.

The following result is proved in [2].

Proposition 3.1. *A bivector field s presented in the form (4) satisfies (3) if and only if the coefficients $c(\bar{\beta})$ obey the following condition: if $\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ then*

$$c(\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})(c(\bar{\alpha}) + c(\bar{\beta})) = c(\bar{\alpha})c(\bar{\beta}) + \varkappa^2.$$

Using the previous proposition, one can obtain the following description of φ -brackets and invariant Poisson brackets on M , [10]. See also [9], where this is done for admissible brackets on coadjoint orbits.

Proposition 3.2. *A φ -bracket on M is determined by the following data: a subgroup $\Psi \subset \Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ such that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}/\Psi$ is free, a group homomorphism $\chi : \Psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ such that $\chi(\bar{\alpha}) \neq 1$ for $\bar{\alpha}$ being the image of a root, and a linear ordering in the group $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}/\Psi$. The coefficients $c(\bar{\alpha})$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$, from (4) are given by the formula:*

$$c(\bar{\alpha}) = \frac{\chi(\bar{\alpha}) + 1}{\chi(\bar{\alpha}) - 1} \quad \text{for } \bar{\alpha} \in \Psi,$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(\bar{\alpha}) &= 1 && \text{if the projection of } \bar{\alpha} \text{ in } \Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}/\Psi \text{ is positive,} \\ c(\bar{\alpha}) &= -1 && \text{if the projection of } \bar{\alpha} \text{ in } \Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}/\Psi \text{ is negative.} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. *An invariant Poisson bracket on M is determined by choosing a subgroup $\Psi \subset \Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ containing no torsion elements and a group homomorphism $\lambda : \Psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(\bar{\alpha}) \neq 0$ for $\bar{\alpha}$ being the image of a root. The coefficients $c(\bar{\alpha})$ from (4) are given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} c(\bar{\alpha}) &= \frac{1}{\lambda(\bar{\alpha})} && \text{for } \bar{\alpha} \in \Psi, \\ c(\bar{\alpha}) &= 0 && \text{if the projection of } \bar{\alpha} \text{ in } \Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}/\Psi \text{ is not zero.} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4. Another description of Poisson brackets on quotients of G by reductive subgroups of maximal rank is given in [4].

Combining Proposition 2.4, 3.2, and 3.3, we obtain the following

Corollary 3.5. (I) *A φ -bracket (and, therefore, an admissible Poisson bracket) on M exists if and only if $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is cyclic.*

(II) *A nonzero Poisson bracket on M exists if and only if $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is infinite.*

(III) *If $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a finite non-cyclic group then there are neither invariant nor admissible Poisson brackets on M . Therefore, such an M does not admit neither G - nor $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant quantization.*

Proof. (I) If $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is non-cyclic then, in virtue of point (IV) of Proposition 2.4, $\text{Ker}(\chi)$ must contain the image of a root, what is impossible by Proposition 3.2. On the other hand, if $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is cyclic, a required homomorphism χ is obviously exists.

(II) If $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is finite, then Ψ from Proposition 3.3 must be finite too, hence $\lambda(\Psi) = 0$. It follows that λ applying to any image of a root gives zero.

(III) follows from (I) and (II). $\square$

Example 3.6. The manifold $M = SO(13)/(SL(2) \times SL(2) \times SL(2) \times SL(2) \times SL(2) \times SL(2))$, has $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore, it satisfies the point (III) of the Corollary.

4 Quantization

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a semisimple Lie group, K a reductive subgroup of maximal rank. Then, for any admissible Poisson bracket on $M = G/K$ there exists a corresponding $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant quantization.*

Proof. As follows from Corollary 3.5, one can suppose that $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is cyclic. The case when $\text{Tor}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}) = 0$, i.e. M is a semisimple coadjoint orbit, is considered in [2], while the case when $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is cyclic itself is considered in [8]. The complete proof of the theorem obtains combining methods of the both papers. $\square$

Remark 4.2. As was noticed in Introduction, for any invariant Poisson bracket on M under consideration there exists a corresponding G -equivariant quantization.

Question. Describe compatible pairs consisting of invariant and admissible Poisson brackets on M . Does there exist for any compatible pair a two parameter $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant quantization? This problem was solved for semisimple coadjoint orbits in [2].

Acknowledgments. We thank A. Elashvili, S. Shnider, and A. Stolin for very helpful discussions.

References

- [1] V.G.Drinfeld, *Quasi-Hopf algebras*, Leningrad Math. J., 1 (1990), 1419–1457.
- [2] J.Donin, D.Gurevich, and S.Shnider, *Double Quantization on Some Orbits in the Coadjoint Representations of Simple Lie Groups*, Commun. Math. Phys., 204 (1999), no. 1, 39–60.
- [3] J.-H. Lu, *Classical dynamical r -matrices and homogeneous Poisson structures on G/H and K/T* , Comm. Math. Phys., 212 (2000), no. 2, 337–370.
- [4] E.Karolinsky and A.Stolin, *Classical dynamical r -matrices, Poisson homogeneous spaces, and Lagrangian subalgebras*, math.QA/0110319.
- [5] J. Donin and D. Gurevich, *Some Poisson structures associated to Drinfeld–Jimbo R -matrix and their quantization*, Israeli Math. Journal, 92 (1995), No 1, 23–32.
- [6] J. Donin and S. Shnider, *Quantum symmetric spaces*, J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra, 100 (1995), 103–115.
- [7] E. B. Dynkin, *Semi-simple subalgebras of semi-simple Lie algebras*, Matemat. Sbornik N.S., 30(72) (1952), *English transl.: AMS Translations*, Series 2, vol.6 (1957), 111–244.
- [8] V. Ostapenko, *$U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ Invariant quantization on some homogeneous manifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis (1999), Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, Israel.
- [9] J.Donin, *$U(\mathfrak{g})$ equivariant quantization of coadjoint orbits and vector bundles over them*, J. of Geometry and Physics, v. 38, 2001, 54–80.
- [10] J. Donin, *Quantum G -manifolds*, Proc. of Workshop "New homological and categorical methods in mathematical physics", Manchester, July 5–12, 2001.